



IFW AF/1725

PTO/SB/21 (03-03)  
Approved for use through 04/30/2003. OMB 0651-0031  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

<b>TRANSMITTAL FORM</b>  (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Application Number	09/645,827	
	Filing Date	August 25, 2000	
	First Named Inventor	Dale C. Flanders	
	Art Unit	1725	
	Examiner Name	Johnson, Jonathan J.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	32	Attorney Docket Number	1000.06

ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Fee Transmittal Form	<input type="checkbox"/> Drawing(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> After Allowance Communication to a Technology Center (TC)
<input type="checkbox"/> Fee Attached	<input type="checkbox"/> Licensing-related Papers	<input type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences
<input type="checkbox"/> Amendment/Reply	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)
<input type="checkbox"/> After Final	<input type="checkbox"/> Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	<input type="checkbox"/> Proprietary Information
<input type="checkbox"/> Affidavits/declaration(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Power of Attorney, Revocation	<input type="checkbox"/> Status Letter
<input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Change of Correspondence Address	<input type="checkbox"/> Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):
<input type="checkbox"/> Express Abandonment Request	<input type="checkbox"/> Terminal Disclaimer	
<input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement	<input type="checkbox"/> Request for Refund	
<input type="checkbox"/> Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> CD, Number of CD(s) _____	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts/Incomplete Application	Remarks	
<input type="checkbox"/> Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53		

SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT	
Firm or Individual	J. Grant Houston
Signature	
Date	April 22, 2004

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING			
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on this date: April 22, 2004			
Typed or printed	Claire J. Handalian		
Signature		Date	April 22, 2004

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 (1-800-786-9199) and select option 2.



**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

In Re:	Dale C. Flanders et al.		
Serial No:	09/645,827	Group:	1725
Filed:	August 25, 2000	Examiner:	Johnson, Jonathan J.
For:	Optical System Production System	Confirmation No:	4350
		Date:	April 22, 2004

**APPEALANTS' BRIEF**

Mail Stop Appeal Brief- Patents  
**Assistant Commissioner for Patents**  
P.O. Box 1450,  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

This is the Applicants' appeal from the final Office Action, mailed July 1, 2003  
(Paper No. 17).

A three-month extension of time is requested for this response.

**Real Party of Interest**

Axsun Technologies, Inc. is the real party in interest.

**Related Appeals and Interferences**

There are no related appeals or interferences.

**Status of Claims**

Claims 1-20 are pending in this application. Claims 1-8 and 17-20 stand finally  
rejected pursuant to the outstanding Office Action.

**Status of Amendments**

All amendments have been entered. There were no post final amendments or  
proposed amendments.

04/28/2004 MAHMED1 00000018 501547 09645827

01 FC:2402 165.00 DA

## Summary of the Invention

The present claimed invention is directed to an optical system production line.

Fig. 26, from the instant application, best summarizes the claimed invention.




FIG. 26

An alignment structure supply 2010 and an optical component supply 2012 are accessed by a first pick and place machine 2014, which assembles the mounting structures and optical elements into optical components. This first pick and place 2014 then functions as an optical component supply that provides optical components, comprising alignment structures with attached optical elements.

A second pick and place machine 2016 receives optical benches from an optical bench supply 2018 and then attaches the optical components to the optical benches.

Finally, an optical system aligner 2020 characterizes positions of the optical components, which have been attached to the optical benches, and mechanically adjusts

the relative positions of the optical components. In the illustrated example, this is achieved by the jaws 710A, 710B, engaging the alignment structure 100 to move the fiber optical component F relative to the bench 10.

### **Issues**

1. Whether Claims 1-8 and 17-20 are anticipated by the Wolfgang, *et al.* article (SPIE Vol. 2906, Microrobotics: Components and Applications).

### **Grouping of Claims**

Claims 1-8 and 17-20 stand or fall independently of each other.

### **Argument**

Neither claim 1 nor claim 17 is anticipated by the Wolfgang article.

The present claimed invention of claim 1, for example, requires an "optical system aligner that characterizes the positions of optical components, which have been attached to the optical benches, and mechanically adjusts the relative positions of the optical components."

The Wolfgang article does not show a system that includes this subsequent aligner system, providing the function of adjusting the mechanical components that have been attached to the optical bench.

Instead, Wolfgang article discloses a system in which the UTH's are placed on a bench with a gripper, as illustrated in its Fig. 8A. The UTH's are then fine positioned until they are properly aligned in the optical link. See Fig. 8B of the Wolfgang article. Only then are the UTH's attached to the optical bench as shown in the Fig. 8C of the Wolfgang article.

In short, the Wolfgang article neither shows nor suggests an optical system aligner that characterizes positions of the optical components, which have been attached to the optical benches and mechanically adjusts the relative positions of the attached optical components.

The advantage of the present invention relative to the system disclosed in the Wolfgang article is that in some applications, the present invention can achieve sub-micron alignment accuracies with very high yields. This would be difficult to achieve using the Wolfgang process, in the opinion of the inventors, with current technology. This is because the laser welding step can distort the alignment due to the concomitant temperature cycling. In contrast, with the present invention, the alignment is performed after attachment.

In a similar vein, claim 17 requires "means for characterizing the positions of the optical components attached to the optical benches, and for mechanically adjusting the relative positions of the optical components attached to the benches." No *prima facie* equivalency exists between this element and the system disclosed in the Wolfgang article. The Wolfgang article does not show something which characterizes positions of optical components that have already been attached to the optical benches.

Turning to claims 2 and 18, a pick and place machine that secures optical components to the benches by solder bonding is described. The Wolfgang article, in contrast, teaches the use of laser welding. Thus, there is no anticipation of these claims.

Claims 7 requires the use of a flip chip bonder, which is not shown or suggested by the applied reference.

The reason why the rejection of the claims has been maintained in spite of the deficiencies of the applied reference is that the Examiner is admittedly refusing to give all of the claimed invention patentable weight. For example, in the final Office Action page 2 last paragraph to page 3 first paragraph, it was stated that "an aligner that characterizes the positions of the optical components on the bench and mechanically adjusts the relative position...an aligner that activates/energizes the workpiece and detects an optical signal and adjusts the components...[are] process limitation[s] that hold little patentable weight in an apparatus claim."

In a similar vein, the Examiner is refusing to consider all of the functional features of the "means" clause of claim 17. On page 4 of the Final Office Action, it is


stated that "[i]t is the examiner's position that although adjusting the relative position of the optical component after being attached to the optical bench is a difference between the prior art and the instant invention, it does not rise to the level of overcoming the substantial similarities outlined in Kemco." In short, the Examiner is conceding that the applied art does not perform the identical claimed function yet still maintains an anticipation rejection.

Applicants further point out that the present claimed invention does not include process limitations. Instead, it describes such components as a system aligner, according to their function and operation. There are no process limitations in claim 1, for example. Thus, the basis by which the Examiner has assigned "little patentable weight" is not accurate.

Applicants respectfully urge that the claim should be read as a whole, assigning all the elements patentable weight. The Examiner's standard for "assigning little patentable weight" to functional limitations is not supported by the case law. Moreover, the standard seems arbitrary. Applicants questions how much is "a little".

For the foregoing reasons, Applicants believe that the pending rejections should be withdrawn, and that the present application should be passed to issue. Should any questions arise, please contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

By   
J. Grant Houston  
Registration No.: 35,900  
Axsun Technologies, Inc.  
Tel.: 978-439-3479  
Fax: 978-262-0035

Billerica, MA 01821  
Date: April 22, 2004

## Appendix

1. (previously presented) An optical system production line, comprising  
an optical bench supply that provides optical benches;  
a component supply that provides optical components;  
a pick-and-place machine that receives optical benches from the bench supply,  
picks optical components from the optical component supply, and  
attaches the optical components to the optical benches; and  
optical system aligner that characterizes the positions of the optical  
components, which have been attached to the optical benches, and  
mechanically adjusts the relative positions of the optical components.
2. (original) An optical system production line as claimed in claim 1, wherein  
the pick-and-place machine secures the optical components to the bench by solder  
bonding.
3. (previously presented) An optical system production line as claimed in claim  
1, wherein the optical system aligner characterizes the positions of the optical  
components by activating optical links of optical systems on the benches,  
detecting optical signals after interaction with at least some of the optical  
components, and adjusting the optical components to optimize transmission of  
optical signals over the links.
4. (previously presented) An optical system production line as claimed in claim  
1, wherein the optical system aligner energizes active components of optical  
systems on the benches and adjusts the optical components to optimize optical  
signal transmission through the systems from the active optical components.
5. (previously presented) An optical system production line as claimed in claim  
1, wherein the optical system aligner energizes active components of optical  
systems and adjusts positions of at least one passive optical component in each of

the optical systems to optimize optical signal transmission from the active components to the at least one passive component.

6. (previously presented) An optical system production line as claimed in claim 1, wherein the optical system aligner energizes active components of optical systems and adjusts positions of at least two passive optical components in each of the optical system to optimize optical signal transmission between the passive components.

7. (original) An optical system production line as claimed in claim 1, wherein the pick and place machine is a flip-chip bonder.

8. (previously presented) An optical system production line as claimed in claim 1, wherein the optical system aligner comprises two jaws for engaging a mounting structure supporting the optical component and moving the structure relative to the bench.

9. (withdrawn) An optical system production method, comprising  
supplying optical benches from an optical bench supply;  
supplying optical components from a component supply;  
receiving optical components from the optical component supply and optical benches from the optical bench supply at a pick-and-place machine;  
attaching the optical components to the optical benches with the pick-and-place machine;  
characterizing positions of the optical components, which have been attached to the optical benches; and  
mechanically adjusting the relative positions of the optical components with an optical system aligner.

10. (withdrawn) An optical system production method as claimed in claim 9, wherein the step of attaching the optical components to the optical benches with the pick-and-place machine comprises solder bonding the optical components to the optical benches.



11. (withdrawn) An optical system production method as claimed in claim 9, wherein the step of characterizing the positions of the optical components comprises:

the optical system aligner activating optical links of optical systems;  
detecting optical signals after interaction with at least some of the optical components; and  
adjusting the optical components to optimize transmission of the optical signals in the optical systems.

12. (withdrawn) An optical system production method as claimed in claim 9, wherein the step of characterizing the positions of the optical components comprises:

energizing active components of optical systems; and  
adjusting the optical components to optimize optical signal transmission through the optical systems from the active optical components.

13. (withdrawn) An optical system production method as claimed in claim 9, wherein the step of characterizing the positions of the optical components comprises:

energizing active components of optical systems; and  
adjusting a position of at least one passive optical component in each of the optical systems to optimize optical signal transmission from the active components through the optical systems.

14. (withdrawn) An optical system production method as claimed in claim 9, wherein the step of characterizing the positions of the optical components comprises:

energizing active components of optical systems; and  
adjusting positions of at least two passive optical components in each of the optical systems to optimize optical signal transmission between the passive components.

15. (withdrawn) An optical system production method as claimed in claim 9, wherein the pick and place machine is a flip-chip bonder.
16. (withdrawn) An optical system production method as claimed in claim 9, wherein the step of mechanically adjusting the relative positions of the optical components comprises engaging mounting structures supporting the optical components and moving the structures relative to the optical benches with the optical system aligner.
17. (previously presented) An optical system production line, comprising  
an optical bench supply for providing optical benches;  
a component supply for providing optical components;  
a pick-and-place machine for receiving optical benches from the bench supply,  
and for picking optical components from the optical component supply,  
and for attaching the optical components to the optical benches; and  
means for characterizing the positions of the optical components attached to  
the optical benches, and for mechanically adjusting the relative positions  
of the optical components attached to the benches.
18. (previously presented) An optical system production line as claimed in claim 17, further comprising the pick-and-place machine securing the optical components to the benches by solder bonding.
19. (previously presented) An optical system production line as claimed in claim 17, further comprising the characterizing and adjusting means characterizing the positions of the optical components by activating optical links of optical systems on the benches, detecting optical signals after interaction with at least some of the optical components, and adjusting the optical components to optimize transmission of optical signals over the links.
20. (previously presented) An optical system production line as claimed in claim 17, further comprising the characterizing and adjusting means energizing active components of optical systems and adjusting positions of at least one passive

optical component in each of the optical systems to optimize optical signal transmission from the active components to the at least one passive component.